

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/748,485	12/30/2003	Jon Arthur Roepke	9D-HL-25191 8742			
7590 10/26/2006 John S. Beulick Armstrong Teasdale LLP			EXAMINER			
			RIGGLEMAN, JASON PAUL			
Suite 2600	suale LLF	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
One Metropolita		1746				
St. Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 10/26/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Α	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	10/748,485		ROEPKE ET AL.				
		E	xaminer		Art Unit				
			ason P. Rigglema		1746	<u></u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appear	rs on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum si re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS CON In no event, however pply and will expire Source the application to leave the application the application to leave the application to leave the application	MMUNICATION er, may a reply be time X (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this co 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .							
			tion is non-final	l .					
3)									
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	_								
6)[
7)									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or elec	ction requireme	nt.	4				
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the dra	wing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exam	niner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PT	ГО-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign pri	ority under 35 (J.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of t	the certified cop	oies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	• •	•	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)		nterview Summary (aper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Applic									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:									

Application/Control Number: 10/748,485 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 68, subclass12.18.
- II. Claims 13-24, drawn to a method, classified in class 134, subclass 33.

 Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, group II requires determining the total wash cycle time and determining the additive dispense time based on the total wash cycle time. This is not required by group I. The apparatus of group I can be used to perform a method which is independent of time; therefore, the apparatus of group I can be used to practice another materially different process than that described in group II.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Patrick Rasche on 10/19/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/748,485 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason P. Riggleman whose telephone number is 571-272-5935. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason P Riggleman Examiner Art Unit 1746

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER